Promotion quota to J and K Officers

3050. SHRI VIREN J. SHAH:
SHRI PRAMOD MAHAJAN.

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Central Government have agreed to provide 50 per cent promotion quota to the Jammu and Kashmir Government officers in Indian Administrative Service, Indian Police Service and Indian Forest Service;

(b) if so, what are the reasons for increasing the State promotion quota from 33 per cent to 50 per cent;

(c) the number of officers likely to be benefited in each cadre;

(d) what are the names of States where promotion quota has been increased in these services; and

(e) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE OF THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA): (a) Enacted promotion quota of 50 per cent for promotion of State Civil Police and Forest Service Officers to the Indian Administrative/Police and Forest Service, respectively was extended for another 5 years upto 30.4.1997 on 11.12.1992.

(b) Due to the backwardness of the State, low intake into all India Services by direct recruitment and lack of coaching facilities in the State, higher percentage of promotion quota was allowed for the State of Jammu and Kashmir at the time of constitution of Jammu and Kashmir Cadres of IAS/IPS and IFS.

(c) The exact number of officers who will be benefited cannot be estimated. The benefit due to the higher promotion quota to the extent of 16-2/3 per cent will be available to the State Services Officers.

(d) The promotion qota stands at 33-1/3 per cent for all cadres with the exception of J and K Cadre.

(e) The promotion quota was enhanced from 25 per cent to 33.1/3 per cent of the Senior Duty posts and the Central Deputation Reserve for all the States in 1977 in consultation with the State Governments. In the case of J and K, the higher promotion quota is due to the circumstances indicated in part (b) of the reply.

केन्द्रीय जांच ब्यूरो में लंबित मामले

3051. डा० **बापू कालदाते** :
श्री सुशील कुमार संभाजीराव शिन्दे :

क्या **प्रधान मंत्री** यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

(क) 1 फरवरी, 1993 की स्थिति के अनुसार केन्द्रीय जांच ब्यूरो में लम्बित मामलों का ब्यौरा क्या है ;

(ख) इनमें से कितने मामले आर्थिक अपराधों से संबंधित हैं ;

(ग) क्या यह सच है कि सरकार ने आर्थिक अपराधों से निपटने के लिए एक अलग जांच तंत्र स्थापित करने का निर्णय लिया है ;

(घ) यदि हां, तो इसका ब्यौरा क्या है ;

(ङ) क्या यह सच है कि केन्द्रीय जांच ब्यूरो जांच कार्य में अधिक समय लेता है; और

(च) यदि हां, तो सरकार का इन मामलों के शीघ्र निपटान हेतु क्या कार्यवाही करने का विचार है ?

कार्मिक, लोक शिकायत तथा पेंशन मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री तथा संसदीय कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री का अतिरिक्त प्रभार (श्रीमती मार्ग्रेट आल्वा): (क) 1-2-93 की स्थिति के अनुसार, केन्द्रीय अन्वेषण ब्यूरो ने 1,321 मामले हाथ में लिए हैं ।

(ख) 1321 मामलों में से 337 मामले आर्थिक अपराधों से संबंधित थे ।

(ग) और (घ) केन्द्रीय अन्वेषण ब्यूरो को मजबूत करने का प्रस्ताव सरकार के विचाराधीन है ।

(ङ) और (च) सामान्यतः जांच नियत समय में पूरा कर ली जाती है ।

Extension to Government employees

3052. SHRIMATI KAMLA SINHA:
DR. BAPU KALDATE:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) the number of cases where extensions in services were given during the last three years in the cases of class I officers including secretaries in the Ministries and Departments (year-wise); and

(b) what are the reasons for giving extension of service in contravention of the policy/rule laid down in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE OF THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA): (a) The information relating to grant of extension in service to Class I officers is not centrally monitored. However, the extension given to the officers at the level of Secretaries in various Ministries/Departments during the preceding three years is as follows:—

Year	No. of Officers
1990	3
1991	1
1992	—

(b) Extension in service is normally not granted except in very rare and exceptional circumstances purely in public interest.

Safeguards under rule 30-A of IDPL, CDA Rules

3053. SHRI MD. SALIM: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 2259 given in the Rajya Sabha on 30th July, 1992 and state:

(a) whether rule similar to that of 30-A exists in the Conduct, Discipline and Appeal, Rules of any of the public sector undertakings or an equivalent rule is found in the CCS (CCA) Rules together with reasons therefor;

(b) whether it is a fact that public undertakings rules are based on the Model Rules circulated by the Bureau of Public Enterprises, with reasons therefor;

(c) if so, the public undertakings that have not included this similar rule, in their rules together with reasons therefor; and

(d) whether it is a fact that there is no safeguards provided in this rule like hearing; enquiry and appeal for the protection of interest of the affected/victimized permanent employees, with reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT) WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE OF THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (DEPARTMENT OF HEAVY INDUSTRY) (SHRIMATI KRISHNA SAHI): (a) to (d): The erstwhile Bureau of Public Enterprises (BPE) had circulated